Database - Bug #2514

incorrect return values for get{First|Next|Last|Previous} methods

02/13/2015 07:38 AM - Ovidiu Maxiniuc

| Status: | Closed | Start date: | |
|---|--------|-----------------|-----------|
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: | |
| Assignee: | | % Done: | 100% |
| Category: | | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour |
| Target version: | | | |
| billable: | No | case_num: | |
| vendor_id: | GCD | version: | |
| Description | | | |
| | | | |
| Related issues: | | | |
| Related to Database - Bug #2625: query-off-end does not work properly | | | Closed |
| | | | |

History

#1 - 02/13/2015 07:46 AM - Ovidiu Maxiniuc

The set of get{First|Next|Last|Previous} methods should return:

- ? (unknown) if the query object handle is invalid;
- yes if a new (virtual) row is found and available;
- no if the query is not open or the next record cannot be found.

At this moment they return no if case of error conditions and yes otherwise (regardless if a new row is available or not). This means that if a procedure uses them to end a loop, it will break when trying to access an inexistent off-end row of the query.

#2 - 02/13/2015 02:32 PM - Ovidiu Maxiniuc

Alternative working form for

IF (NOT qh:GET-NEXT()) THEN ...

is to rewrite as:

qh:GET-NEXT().
IF (qh:QUERY-OFF-END)
THEN ...

This may give the fix solution to return in GET-NEXT the !QUERY-OFF-END.

#3 - 02/18/2015 02:41 PM - Ovidiu Maxiniuc

- File om_upd20150218b.zip added

This update should fix the return values for respective methods.

#4 - 11/19/2015 02:46 PM - Eric Faulhaber

- Status changed from New to Closed
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Sorry, I just came across this issue and realized I never reviewed this update separately. However, it seems this fix went into the trunk as part of revision 10904, so I am closing this issue.

12.7 KB

om_upd20150218b.zip

02/18/2015

Ovidiu Maxiniuc