

Database - Bug #2946

SESSION:FIRST-BUFFER buffer order is wrong

01/06/2016 10:43 AM - Greg Shah

| | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|
| Status: | New | Start date: | |
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: | |
| Assignee: | | % Done: | 0% |
| Category: | | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour |
| Target version: | | | |
| billable: | No | case_num: | |
| vendor_id: | GCD | version: | |
| Description | | | |

History

#1 - 01/06/2016 10:45 AM - Greg Shah

The following problem was found by Constantin in [#2795-46](#).

There is an issue related to the buffer order reported by the SESSION:FIRST-BUFFER list - currently, P2J adds buffers to this list in their "creation order". But I don't think this is correct: for the temp-tables, the order looks like is given by the temp-table creation order and only after that, by the buffer creation order.

#2 - 01/06/2016 01:48 PM - Constantin Asofiei

From [#2795-47](#)

2795a rev 10981 looks like is working OK with the permanent buffers, too - see testcases/uast/procedures/shared_pt_run.p. But there is the same problem - the buffer order in the SESSION:FIRST-BUFFER list is incorrect. Again, P2J reports them following the creation order, while 4GL uses some other order... not sure why, as all the buffers are for the same table.

For the temp-table buffer order problem, see testcases/uast/procedures/shared_tt_run.p.