

Database - Bug #3040

CAN-FIND issues

03/25/2016 12:40 PM - Greg Shah

<b>Status:</b>	New	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>		<b>case_num:</b>	
<b>billable:</b>	No	<b>version:</b>	
<b>vendor_id:</b>	GCD		
<b>Description</b>			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to Database - Bug #3041: ambiguous field references are allowed in a ...			<b>New</b>
Related to Database - Bug #2034: correct conversion of CAN-FIND({FIRST LAST} ...			<b>New</b>

History

#1 - 03/25/2016 01:09 PM - Greg Shah

The following are known and/or suspected issues:

1. CAN-FIND with share or exclusive lock in a WHERE clause cannot be done using a sub-select and it instead is a FindQuery whose result is substituted in. This is just a performance issue.
2. CAN-FIND nested more than 2 levels converts improperly.
3. Conversion of CAN-FIND in a client-side WHERE is mangled. See #2608.
4. Ambiguous field references in CAN-FIND are allowed (where they otherwise would not be allowed). See [#3041](#).