Database - Feature #3549

implement RAW-TRANSFER statement

04/12/2018 05:52 PM - Greg Shah

Status:	New	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
billable:	No	version:	
vendor_id:	GCD		
Description			
Related issues:			
Related to Database - Feature #3758: misc database features			Closed
Related to Database - Feature #2137: runtime support for FIELDS/EXCEPT recor		Γrecord	New

History

#2 - 04/13/2018 04:51 PM - Greg Shah

Useful links:

How is the order of fields in temp-table definitions specified?

4GL/ABL: How to use the RAW-TRANSFER method with a dynamic table buffer?

4GL. Signatures, RAW-TRANSFER, Temp Tables and How they Interact

RAW-TRANSFER error 4955 between temp-table and database table

https://www.progresstalk.com/threads/raw-transfer-database-update.121990/

#3 - 04/16/2018 01:22 PM - Greg Shah

The following are my early findings based on research and some initial testcases (see testcases/uast/raw transfer/*). This analysis is not complete at this time.

RAW-TRANSFER is used in 3 possible modes:

- BUFFER to RAW serialize the contents of a buffer into a stream of bytes.
- RAW to BUFFER deserialize from a stream of bytes, create a record and assign the contents.
- BUFFER to BUFFER a kind of buffer copy that can work whenever the signatures of two buffers match. When using RAW-TRANSFER from one buffer to another, it is similar in functionality to BUFFER-COPY, but there are some low level details that are different between them. Presumably, a worker in the runtime can just implement its own hidden RAW variable and call the BUFFER to RAW processing followed by the RAW to BUFFER processing.

For this reason, the implementation will most likely be focused on the first two forms.

Core to the RAW-TRANSFER statement is the concept that each record has a signature that involves 3 pieces of information:

- The list of fields in POSITION order (e.g. _FIELD._FIELD-RPOS).
 The data type for each field (e.g. _FIELD._DATA-TYPE).
- The extent value for each field (e.g. FIELD. EXTENT).

The signature seems to be measuring the structure of the table itself. The names of the fields DO NOT MATTER. It is perfectly fine to transfer records between tables that use completely different names for the same structure.

The CRC-VALUE of the table also seems not to matter, though it tends to also change with the same metadata above, so it is a kind of related thing.

The POSITION value seems to be about the physical sequencing of the record buffer and it has implications for R-CODE. POSITION@ can be (but is not required to be) different from the ORDER which seems to be more about the DISPLAY sequencing. Both values are specified in the schema for permanent databases and are copied into TEMP-TABLES that are created LIKE a schema table. In order for POSITION to appear in the schema, the schema must be exported with a checkbox set for including the data for r-code compatibility. For temp-tables that are not defined LIKE another table, the ORDER is implicitly the same as the sequencing of the FIELD clauses in the 4GL source code. The POSITION value is implicitly set to be the same as the ORDER value. Neither can be set explicitly, only the sequencing of the source code can be controlled by the programmer.

This signature is written out in the serialized bytes and upon deserialization, it is compared to the signature of the target buffer before writing the changes into that buffer.

#4 - 04/16/2018 06:59 PM - Greg Shah

When I started looking at some testcases, I took a quick look at the serialized output to see how much of the structure could be figured out quickly.

testcases/uast/raw_transfer/raw_transfer_int_encoding.p outputs this:

0113 D 0 D 0			Summary Data		
CHARSET	Internal Codepage	CRC-VALUE	POSITION DATA-TYPE	EXTENT	
1252	1252	Oxffffba	2 integer	0	
	En	coded Test Dat	a		
RECID ROWID			W Length Data Value		Encode
d Record Hex Dump		2	<u>,</u>		
0x00000900 0x00000			41 127 (0x00000		715302
			0103FDFDFDFDFDFF017F0		
0x00000901 0x00000		19	43 4096 (0x0000		715302
		00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF02100	00101	
0x00000902 0x00000	00000000902	20	44 32767 (0x000	O7FFF)	715302
		00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF03007	FFF0102	
0x00000903 0x00000	0000000903	21	45 8388607 (0x0	O7FFFFF)	715302
000200020000000000000000000000000000000	0040000FFFF3132353200	00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF04007	FFFFF0103	
0x00000904 0x00000	00000000904	21	45 2147483647 (Ox7FFFFFFF)	715302
000200020000000000000000000000000000000	0040000FFFF3132353200	00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF047FF1	FFFFF0104	
0x00000905 0x00000	00000000905	18	42 -1 (OxFFFFFF	FF)	715302
000200020000000000000000000000000000000	0040000FFFF3132353200	00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF01FF0	105	
0x00000906 0x00000	00000000906	19	43 -128 (OxFFFF	FF80)	715302
000200020000000000000000000000000000000	0040000FFFF3132353200	00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF02FF8	00106	
0x00000907 0x00000	00000000907	20	44 -32768 (OxFF)	FF8000)	715302
000200020000000000000000000000000000000	0040000FFFF3132353200	00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF03FF8	0000107	
0x00000908 0x00000	00000000908	21	45 -134217728 (0xF8000000)	715302
000200020000000000000000000000000000000	00040000FFFF3132353200	00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF04F80	00000108	
0x00000909 0x00000	00000000909	21	45 -2147483648	(0x8000000)	715302
000200020000000000000000000000000000000	0040000FFFF3132353200	00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF04800	00000109	
0x0000090A 0x00000		17	41 0 (0x0000000		715302
000200020000000000000000000000000000000	0040000FFFF3132353200	00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFF000102	P	
0x0000090B 0x00000	0000000090b	17	41 ?		715302
000200020000000000000000000000000000000	0040000FFFF3132353200	00FA000B000101	0103FDFDFDFDFDFFFD010	з	

testcases/uast/raw_transfer/raw_transfer_int_array_encoding.p outputs this:

			Summary Data		
CHARSET	Internal Codepage	CRC-VALUE	POSITION DATA-TYPE	EXTENT	
1252	 1252	Oxffffe	2 integer	3	
	En	coded Test Dat	a		
RECID ROWID	Re	cord Length RA	W Length Data Value		Encode
d Record Hex Dump					
0x00001100 0x00000 00020002000000001000		25 3235320000FA00	53 127 (0x00000 0B0001010103FDFDFDFDF		715302 7FFF00
0x00001101 0x00000		29	57 4096 (0x0000		715302
0002000200000001000	200030000040000FFFF31	3235320000FA00	0B0001010103FDFDFDFDFI		00021000FF0101
0x00001102 0x00000	0000001102	32	60 32767 (0x0000)7FFF)	715302
000200020000001000	200030000040000FFFF31	3235320000FA00	0B0001010103FDFDFDFDFI	OFFFA000D03007FFF03	007FFF03007FFFFF
0102					
0x00001103 0x00000		35	63 8388607 (0x00		715302
	200030000040000FFFF31	3235320000FA00	0B0001010103FDFDFDFDFI	DFFFA001004007FFFFF	04007FFFFF04007F
FFFFFF0103					
0x00001104 0x00000			63 2147483647 ((715302
	200030000040000FFFF31	3235320000FA00	0B0001010103FDFDFDFDFI	OFFFA0010047FFFFFFF	047FFFFFFFF047FFF
FFFFFF0104 0x00001105 0x00000	0000001105	26	54 -1 (OxFFFFFF		715302
			0B0001010103FDFDFDFDF		
0x00001106 0x00000		29	57 -128 (0xFFFF		715302
			0B0001010103FDFDFDFDF		
0x00001107 0x00000		32	60 -32768 (0xFFF		715302
			0B0001010103FDFDFDFDFI		
0107					
0x00001108 0x00000	0000001108	35	63 -134217728 (0)xF8000000)	715302
0002000200000001000	200030000040000FFFF31	3235320000FA00	0B0001010103FDFDFDFDFI	OFFFA001004F8000000	04F800000004F800
0000FF0108					
0x00001109 0x00000	0000001109	35	63 -2147483648	(0x80000000)	715302
0002000200000001000 0000FF0109	200030000040000FFFF31	3235320000FA00	0B0001010103FDFDFDFDFI	DFFFA00100480000000	0480000000048000
0x0000110A 0x00000	0000000110a	23	51 0 (0x0000000))	715302
0002000200000001000	200030000040000FFFF31	3235320000FA00	0B0001010103FDFDFDFDFI	OFFFA0004000000FF01	AO
0x0000110B 0x00000	0000000110b	23	51 ?		715302
0002000200000001000	200030000040000FFFF31	3235320000FA00	0B0001010103FDFDFDFDFI	DFFFA0004FDFDFDFF01	OB

testcases/uast/raw_transfer/basic_multi_column_raw_transfer_buffer_to_raw_to_different_buffer.p outputs this:

			Summary Data	a
HARSET	Internal Codepage	CRC-VALUE		
252	1252	0xFFFF91		

POSITION DATA-TYPE EXTENT Value
2 integer 0 49374
3 int64 0 3405691582
4 decimal 0 1.111,11
5 logical 0 no
6 date 0 09/01/04
7 datetime 0 09/01/2004 08:30:00,000
8 datetime-tz 0 12/01/2020 17:30:00,000-04:00
9 raw 0 ******
10 character 0 abcdefg
11 handle 0 1139
12 recid 0 8448
13 rowid 0
Encoded Test Data
ECID ROWID Record Length RAW Length

Encoded Record Hex Dump _____

0x00002100 0x0000000002100 85 121
7153020000000000000000000000429050302222808010A070D000000FFFF313235320000FA000B000109010DFDFDFDFDFDFDFDF0300C0DE05
00CAFEBABE048211111100024D86064D8601D2EB400C049D07C0000064B5FFFFF1008FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF7676162636465666702047302
21000800000000002100

The encoded output always seems to have two bytes with 0x7153 at the beginning. This seems to have no obvious meaning. It was the same on OE 11.7 Windows as on OE 10.2B Linux.

After that seems to be a descriptor showing the number of fields:

0x0200020002 (single field) 0x02000D000D (12 fields)

I think the 02 prefix is the number of following bytes to read. The single field case is then followed by 0002 and the 12 field case is 000D. In both cases, the value seems to be 1 greater than the number of fields. I think they have a partial encoding of the recid/rowid at the end of the data that may be the "extra" field. I think they then repeat the same number of fields value again, but without the "number of bytes to read" prefix. I'm not sure why they do this. So far, the two values are always the same. Anyway, this would be the first part of the record signature.

I believe that the next part is the rest of the record signature. I think you can read from there up to a delimiter of 0xFFFF (actually the delimiter is probably 0x0000FFFF):

```
0000000000000000FFFF (1 int field, extent 0)
00000001000200030000040000FFFF (1 int field, extent 3)
000000000000429050302222808010A070D000000FFFF (12 fields mixed data types, all extent 0)
```

I suspect that the 0x000000 is just some kind of spacer or empty section. It seems to be constant so far.

I think the next part is the extent specification. The cases where there are no extents seem to encode this as another empty section 0x000000. But the single int field of extent 3 has 0x01000200030000. I think this is read as:

- 01 is the length of the first part and 00 is the value (probably meaning that the extra or implicit field is not an array)
- 02 is the length of the second part and 0003 is the value (probably the extent of 3 for the "second" field which is the actual int field in this case)
 0000 as the delimiter to end the section

If this interpretation is correct, then it explains why 000000 is an empty section. The leading 00 means there is no following data to read and the ending delimiter is still 0000.

After the extent section is something that I suspect is the field-level data types:

```
04 (1 int field, extent 0)
04 (1 int field, extent 3)
0429050302222808010A070D (12 multi-data type fields, all extent 0)
```

In all 3 tables, the first field is an integer which may be encoded as 04. If this is the data types list in position sequencing, then the types for the 3rd table would be as follows:

04 integer 29 int64 05 decimal 03 logical 02 date 22 datetime 28 datetime-tz 08 raw 01 character 0A handle 07 recid 0D rowid

This is pretty plausible since the higher values are definitely the types added later. Even handle and rowid were added after the initial base types (01 through 08). Curiously, I wonder what type 06 is. As far as I know there are only COM-HANDLE, CLOB, BLOB and CLASS that are not yet tested

(all which would be outside of the first 8 values). Perhaps 06 is memptr and since they don't allow that as a field, it is a gap in the values.

From there the signature seems to end with 0000FFFF (for the single field cases) and 000000FFFF for the 12 field case. It is not clear why there is an extra 00 byte in the multi-field case.

Following the FFFF signature delimiter is the codepage. I guess this just affects the character data fields, but anyway, the codepage is encoded like this:

313235320000 (OE 11.7 Windows system using codepage "1252", 31 is the the ASCII encoding for the digit "1", 32 is "2", 35 is "5", 32 is "2") 49534F383835392D313500000000 (OE 10.2B Linux system using codepage "ISO8859-15", ASCII encoding is 49 for "I", 53 for "S", 4F for "O", 38 for "8", 35 for "5", 39 for "9", 2D for "-" and 31 for "1", 35 is "5")

Then there is an ending delimiter of 0000 and 00000000 respectively. I don't know why there is a difference.

Next is a section which I cannot explain, other than to say that I think it encodes some kind of array.

FA000B0001010103FDFDFDFDFDFF	(single int field, extent 0)
FA000B0001010103FDFDFDFDFDFF	(single int field, extent 3)
FA000B000109010DFDFDFDFDFDFF	(12 fields multi-data types, no extents)

Based on other analysis, I think the FA indicates the start of an array and the final FF is the ending array delimiter. The 00 is how they encode a field of value 0 and the 0B is probably "read the next 11 bytes", which would be 0001010103FDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFFF respectively. As shown below the FD is likely to mean unknown value. I don't know what the other data is. There is 01 versus 09 and 03 versus 0D, but it is not obvious what these mean.

I believe that the rest of the encoding is the actual data. There is always an implicit field at the end which is a portion of the recid. Why they don't store the entire recid, I don't know. But the data is otherwise encoded in position order. I think that each data type will have its own way of being encoded.

For integer, there are four styles of encoding:

00 is the number 0

FD is the unknown value

<size_in_1_byte_value><variable_length_value> is a single scalar value

FA<total_following_bytes_including_ff_delimiter_in_2_byte_value><size_in_1_byte_value><variable_length_value><size_in_1_byte_value><variable_length_value><FF is a 3 extent array (BTW, this suggests that the overall size consumed by all elements of a given array is a maximum of 32Kbytes in size)

Recid seems to be encoded the same way as a single scalar integer.

Single integer field, extent 0:

Single Integer Value	RECID	Encoded (int field is separated from partial recid for clarity)
127 (0x7F)	0x00000900	017F 00
4096 (0x1000)	0x00000901	021000 0101
32767 (0x00007FFF)	0x00000902	03007FFF 0102
8388607 (0x007FFFF)	0x0000903	04007FFFFF 0103
2147483647 (0x7FFFFFF)	0x00000904	047FFFFFF 0104
-1 (0xFFFFFFF)	0x00000905	01FF 0105
-128 (0xFFFFF80)	0x0000906	02FF80 0106
-32768 (0xFFFF8000)	0x0000907	03FF8000 0107
-134217728 (0xF8000000)	0x00000908	04F8000000 0108
-2147483648 (0x8000000)	0x00000909	048000000 0109
0	0x0000090A	00 010A
unknown value	0x000090B	FD 010B

Initially I assumed that certain data types would have simple fixed size encodings. For example, integer is a 32-bit signed value and I assumed that it would always be encoded in 4 bytes. CHARACTER and RAW types are naturally variable length (up to 32K or so), so for those I expected some kind of 2 byte length value followed by the encoded output. But clearly, there is a variable length used here even for very small fixed data types.

Integer array, extent 3 (in each example all 3 elements are assigned the same value):

Element Integer Value	RECID	Encoded (portions are separated for clarity)
-----------------------	-------	--

127 (0x7F)	0x00001100	FA 0007 017F 017F 017F FF 00
4096 (0x1000)	0x00001101	FA 000A 021000 021000 021000 FF 0101
32767 (0x00007FFF)	0x00001102	FA 000D 03007FFF 03007FFF 03007FFF FF 0102
8388607 (0x007FFFF)	0x00001103	FA 0010 04007FFFFF 04007FFFFF 04007FFFFF FF 0103
2147483647 (0x7FFFFFF)	0x00001104	FA 0010 047FFFFFF 047FFFFFF 047FFFFFF FF 0104
-1 (0xFFFFFFF)	0x00001105	FA 0007 01FF 01FF 01FF FF 0105
-128 (0xFFFFF80)	0x00001106	FA 000A 02FF80 02FF80 02FF80 FF 0106
-32768 (0xFFFF8000)	0x00001107	FA 000D 03FF8000 03FF8000 03FF8000 FF 0107
-134217728 (0xF8000000)	0x00001108	FA 0010 04F8000000 04F8000000 04F8000000 FF 0108
-2147483648 (0x8000000)	0x00001109	FA 0010 048000000 048000000 048000000 FF 0109
0	0x0000110A	FA 0004 00 00 00 FF 010A
unknown value	0x0000110B	FA 0004 FD FD FD FF 010B

More work will be needed to figure out the encoding for every data type. The tricky part is ensuring that all possible values are tested.

If you look at the details above, you will see that the RECORD-LENGTH() for a buffer is smaller than the LENGTH() of the encoded value. For example, the table with a single int field (no extent) is 17 bytes but the encoded length is 41 bytes. Of course, this will vary with the variable encoding of the different data. Even the recid can change (a recid ending in 00 is encoded as 00 but a recid ending in 0A is encoded as 010A following the rules for integer encoding.

The signature is certainly a big part of the difference in sizes. I did not check to see if it is the only difference.

Here is a quick parsing of the 12-field record where each field had a different data type:

0300C0DE0500CAFEBABE048211111100024D86064D8601D2EB400C049D07C0000064B5FFFFF1008FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF761626364656667 020473022100080000000002100

03 00C0DE (integer 0xCoDE)

05 00CAFEBABE (int64 0xCAFEBABE, looks like an extended up to 8 bytes integer encoding)

04 82 111111 (decimal 1111.11 with DECIMALS 2)

00 (logical no)

02 4D86 (date 09/01/04 which is a julian day number)

06 4D86 01D2EB40 (datetime 09/01/2004 08:30:00,000 which is a julian day + milliseconds from midnight which is a max value of 86,400,000) 0C 049D 07C00000 64B5FFFFFF10 (datetime-tz 12/01/2020 17:30:00,000-04:00, same as datetime plus an offset in minutes from gmt?, 2 bytes would be enough so I'm not sure what the FFFFFF is for)

07 61626364656667 (character value "abcdefg")

02 0473 (handle value 1139 encoded as an integer)

02 2100 (recid 0x2100 encoded as an integer)

08 00000000002100 (rowid encoded as a fixed size 8 byte int64)

This one doesn't have the partial recid/rowid field at the end. The recid and rowid fields that are there were explicitly put into the table (by me). I would have expected an extra 00 at the end of the encoded output so that is a bit confusing.

#5 - 04/16/2018 07:10 PM - Greg Shah

Do to a short term need to provide a working implementation, I am writing a "basic" implementation that works but which is not compatible. There will be error handling differences and most importantly, the serialized output will be different. This means that this implementation will definitely fail if provided with data serialized from the 4GL itself, but when using data serialized by FWD, it should work.

With this in mind, I'm not going to do any additional testcases at this time. When this work is restarted, the testcases need to be extended as follows:

- · data type encoding
 - implement full testcases for all the data types which FWD supports (integer is the only one that is complete right now)
 - when FWD supports them in the database, add COM-HANDLE, CLOB, BLOB and CLASS
 - make sure to check:
 - all important variants of data values
 - different sized data/boundary conditions
 - unknown value for each data type
 - extent and non-extent
 - configuraton differences (DECIMALS, CASE-SENS...)
- · confirm that:
 - field name does not matter
 - difference in code pages for character data will automatically convert
 - the same fields in a different order will fail (and what the error processing is)
 - there is no implicit type conversion (you can't write a field of int type into an int64 or decimal)
- · check what happens when:
 - · you read from a buffer that has no record avail
 - you write to a buffer that has no record avail
 - $\circ\;$ you reference a dynamic temp-table that is not prepared yet
 - in a copy TO a buffer, when a problem happens after the create occurs but before all the data is successfully written into the record, does any previous record get put back into the buffer?
 - there are some cases noted as TODO in the BufferImpl.rawTransfer(boolean, handle) method

The raw_transfer_test_driver.p should be extended to run the entire suite of tests non-interactively. The results can be stored in raw_transfer/results/.

#6 - 04/20/2018 11:41 AM - Greg Shah

As of branch 3507a revision 11264, the RAW-TRANSFER language statement (and the BUFFER method) has a complete "basic" implementation. It has been tested and works.

At this time it needs improvements to handle boundary conditions, to add proper error handling and the deserialization is not binary compatible with the 4GL version. Once the additional questions in <u>#3549-5</u> are answered, the final implementation will not be difficult. All of the core functionality is already present.

#7 - 02/06/2019 09:50 AM - Greg Shah

- Related to Feature #3758: misc database features added

#8 - 09/05/2022 01:52 AM - Greg Shah

- Related to Feature #2137: runtime support for FIELDS/EXCEPT record phrase options added