

## Base Language - Bug #4462

### NOW with comparison operators

12/10/2019 06:38 PM - Constantin Asofiei

|                        |        |                        |           |
|------------------------|--------|------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Status:</b>         | New    | <b>Start date:</b>     |           |
| <b>Priority:</b>       | Normal | <b>Due date:</b>       |           |
| <b>Assignee:</b>       |        | <b>% Done:</b>         | 0%        |
| <b>Category:</b>       |        | <b>Estimated time:</b> | 0.00 hour |
| <b>Target version:</b> |        | <b>case_num:</b>       |           |
| <b>billable:</b>       | No     | <b>version:</b>        |           |
| <b>vendor_id:</b>      | GCD    |                        |           |
| <b>Description</b>     |        |                        |           |

### History

#### #2 - 12/10/2019 06:49 PM - Constantin Asofiei

- Start date deleted (12/10/2019)

There is a bug in FWD, where a comparison like `dt > NOW` (where `dt` is `datetime`) fails with `Incompatible data types in expression or assignment. (223) error`. This is allowed in 4GL, but only if `NOW` is a standalone operand, not part of an expression. I have a pending fix for this (which will be in 3809e), where I just emit `datetime.now()` instead of `datetimetz.now()`, for this case.

Another variation is `h::f-dt > NOW - 1` (where `f-dt` is `datetime`) - here, even if `NOW` is part of an expression, the comparison is successful in 4GL. In FWD, we would need to emit this as a dynamic compare operator, which will allow the `datetime` and `datetime-tz` (to which `NOW` is converted implicitly in FWD) to be comparable. I haven't seen this in the customer apps I've been working, so I'm postponing this part.

#### #3 - 12/11/2019 04:50 PM - Constantin Asofiei

The fix is in 3809e rev 11435 . The dereference problem (actually any `POLY` operand) is still postponed.