Database - Feature #5165

disallow usage of an incompatible database instance

02/25/2021 05:08 AM - Greg Shah

Status:	New	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
billable:	No	vendor_id:	GCD
Description			

History

#2 - 02/25/2021 05:48 AM - Greg Shah

In OE, the database metaschema has CRC values stored for each table, index and schema trigger. When compiling 4GL to r-code, the CRC values of the resources being used are stored in the r-code. At runtime, these are checked against the database metaschema to detect if the code was compiled against a different version of those resources. See What are the different types of CRC stored in the database's metaschema?

In FWD, at this time, we have no equivalent facility. We have found that it is all too easy to have converted application code which uses databases which are incompatible. There are 2 sources of incompatibility:

- structural differences in .df
 - o The converted .jar (and artifacts) are used for both application execution and for database import.
 - When 2 different versions are used, any structural differences will cause an incompatibility that currently will only be seen in runtime failures.
 - o These failures will occur only in parts of the application which are:
 - executed
 - incompatible in structure
 - o This means that the application might operate for some time without anyone noticing that there is a problem.
 - o It might cause serious issues such as data corruption and it will certainly cause instability and what appear as bugs in FWD.
 - o Some .df changes are not structural (e.g. labels, format strings, descriptions).
 - o I think that changes to data types, extents, names and other structural elements are the problem here.
- changes in FWD
 - Changes to how FWD implements some persistence features can cause incompatibility.
 - schema conversion
 - data import
 - query conversion
 - **.** ?
 - The identical .df used with 2 versions of FWD can result in an incompatible environment.
 - o This has all the same implications as a structurally different .df.

I think the OE approach is over-complicated and makes little sense. We would not want the application to only break for changed tables or indexes. I see no way to ensure data integrity with such an approach.

On the other hand, at least they detect the incompatibility before it tries to execute. We need to implement a mechanism to detect this problem. I suggest the following:

- At conversion time, we should calculate a unique "fingerprint" for the structural elements of the .df. Only a single value is calculated for the entire .df. We don't need per-table or per-index values.
- At FWD build time, we should calculate a unique "fingerprint" for all schema/persistence conversion rulesets and Java code upon which compatibility depends.
 - This could be something that is automated and it may be somewhat simplistic (e.g. any change to certain files is detected as an incompatible difference).
 - o An alternative is to manually encode a fingerprint that changes only when a programmer determines an incompatible change was made.
 - The manual approach will be error prone. It might be forgotten (leading to data corruption/failures) or it might be changed unnecessarily because it is hard to know when to change it. This second problem is no worse than the automated build solution.
- Both fingerprints would be stored in:
 - $\circ\,$ conversion artifacts that can be read from the application jar
 - the database at data import time
- At every database connection, both fingerprints would be compared between the application jar and the database instance. Any mis-match would disallow the connection.
 - If this occurs for a database that is connected by default, the server would not be allowed to start (and a very obvious/understandable error would be written to the log).
 - o If this is on a connection that is made dynamically then we probably should do whatever the 4GL does in this case. I suspect they raise a

04/19/2024 1/2

STOP condition.

We need to consider how to handle updates to these fingerprints over time.

- Needed because of a new FWD version that doesn't require dump/load.
- Needed due to manual changes applied to the structure of the database (e.g. an application upgrade that doesn't require dump/load).

#3 - 02/25/2021 09:42 AM - Constantin Asofiei

We can also validate the schema specified at the permament DMOs with the database tables/indexes.

04/19/2024 2/2