## Database - Bug #5452

# eliminate use of ResultSet.CONCUR\_UPDATABLE?

06/15/2021 12:00 PM - Eric Faulhaber

| Status:         | Closed       | Start date:     |           |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Priority:       | Normal       | Due date:       |           |
| Assignee:       | Ştefan Roman | % Done:         | 100%      |
| Category:       |              | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour |
| Target version: |              |                 |           |
| billable:       | No           | case_num:       |           |
| vendor_id:      | GCD          | version:        |           |
| Description     |              |                 |           |
| 1               |              |                 |           |

## History

#### #1 - 06/15/2021 12:07 PM - Eric Faulhaber

Ovidiu, while debugging a few days ago, I came across this in SQLQuery.scroll(Session, int, boolean, List<RowStructure>):

int readOnlyMode = readOnly ? ResultSet.CONCUR\_READ\_ONLY : ResultSet.CONCUR\_UPDATABLE;

I know we carried the readOnly parameter over from the pre-4011 implementation, but this rarely will be set to true, so we almost always will use CONCUR\_UPDATABLE. AFAIK, we never update records directly from a ResultSet using the updateXXXX methods, so I think we can drop the readOnly parameter altogether and always make result sets CONCUR\_READ\_ONLY.

I don't know if there's any practical performance benefit to this change, but it seems like it can't make it worse.

What do you think?

#### #2 - 06/15/2021 07:05 PM - Ovidiu Maxiniuc

You are right. Since we always fetch the data from the ResultSet's and use it to hydrate our own Record's there is no point in having the ResultSet's updatable.

Also, I see that DatabaseManager.readOnly is not populated any more so DatabaseManager.isReadOnly() which is used to set the readonly parameter is always returning false.

## #3 - 05/02/2023 07:37 AM - Alexandru Lungu

- Assignee set to Ştefan Roman

## #4 - 05/05/2023 05:50 AM - Alexandru Lungu

Created 5452a from trunk/rev. 14560.

05/17/2024 1/2

#### #5 - 05/05/2023 06:39 AM - Ştefan Roman

- % Done changed from 0 to 50
- Status changed from New to WIP

## #6 - 05/05/2023 09:31 AM - Ştefan Roman

- % Done changed from 50 to 100
- Status changed from WIP to Review

Removed readOnly flag from SQLQuery, checked with HotelGUI and everything works fine. Committed to 5452a revision 14561.

## #7 - 05/08/2023 03:22 AM - Alexandru Lungu

- % Done changed from 100 to 50
- Status changed from Review to WIP

I am OK with the current changes.

However, you still need to extend your removal of readOnly. More exactly, there is still a Query.readOnly member we no longer use, as the support for custom ResultSet read-mode is removed.

For that matter, remove Query.setReadOnly. This will require changes in Persistence.getQuery (readOnly flag) and DefaultDirtyShareManager.list (readOnly flag). These will cause changes in other files as well.

### #8 - 05/10/2023 05:42 AM - Ştefan Roman

- Status changed from WIP to Review
- % Done changed from 50 to 100

Removed readOnly flag and fixed persistence.list() and getQuery() new signatures, checked with a large project and it works normally. Committed to 5452a revision 14562.

## #9 - 08/22/2023 07:28 AM - Alexandru Lungu

I am OK with the changes.

I also committed 5452a rev. 14563 removing readOnly from share manager and multiplexer classes.

I am rebasing now and going to merge in trunk.

## #10 - 08/22/2023 07:50 AM - Alexandru Lungu

- Status changed from Review to Test

Branch 5452a was merged to trunk as rev 14704 and archived.

This can be closed.

# #11 - 08/22/2023 09:32 AM - Greg Shah

- Status changed from Test to Closed

05/17/2024 2/2