

Database - Bug #8025

Persistence API improvements

11/10/2023 07:43 AM - Constantin Asofiei

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|------------------------|-----------|
| Status: | New | Start date: | |
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: | |
| Assignee: | | % Done: | 0% |
| Category: | | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour |
| Target version: | | case_num: | |
| billable: | No | | |
| vendor_id: | GCD | | |
| Description | | | |

History

#1 - 11/10/2023 07:44 AM - Constantin Asofiei

Eric, customers need to access the result set metadata for the `ScrollableResults<?> resultSet = connection.executeQuery(sql,new Object[{}]);` result.

Is it acceptable to add a `ScrollableResults.getResultSet` to expose the JDBC internals?

#2 - 11/10/2023 09:10 AM - Constantin Asofiei

- Subject changed from `add ScrollableResults.getResultSet` to `Persistence API improvements`

Another part which is requested by customers to be improved: `executeSQLBatch` to return an `int[]` array with the result (number of updated records) for each statement.

#3 - 11/10/2023 09:37 AM - Alexandru Lungu

Constantin Asofiei wrote:

Eric, customers need to access the result set metadata for the `ScrollableResults<?> resultSet = connection.executeQuery(sql,new Object[{}]);` result.

Is it acceptable to add a `ScrollableResults.getResultSet` to expose the JDBC internals?

Just a small remark on this one: maybe we can have `ScrollableResults.getMetadata` instead. My point is that some of the FWD API (especially [#6720](#) which is almost ready) may depend on **not** moving the result-set (i.e. doing `.next`) outside the `ScrollableResults`. Also, mind the `.close` and `.update*` methods we certainly do not want to expose.